Volume I Pages 1 to 92 Exhibits 1 to 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

HOWARD RICHARDSON,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Civil Action

No. 04-11412-NG

SUSA PARTNERSHIP, LP,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF HOWARD RICHARDSON, a witness called on behalf of the Defendant, taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Ken A. DiFraia, Registered Professional Reporter and Notar Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts at the Offices of Jackson Lewis LLP, 75 Park Plaza, Boston, Massachusetts, on Monday, June 13, 2005, commencing at 10:35 a.m.

## PRESENT:

Gleason Law Offices
(by William P. Boland, Esq.)
163 Merrimack Street, Haverhill, MA 01830
for the Plaintiff.

Jackson Lewis LLP
(by Thomas Royall Smith, Esq.,
and Amanda S. Rosenfeld, Esq.)
75 Park Plaza, Boston, MA 02116,
for the Defendant.

\* \* \* \* \*

A. No, I have not. No.

- Q. It's a fair statement to say that since
  April 24th, when you were terminated, you have not
  applied anywhere else for employment?
  - A. No. I have not been able to.
- Q. What medical problems prevented you from applying elsewhere for employment?
  - A. My physical condition.
- Q. Just for the record, what is the physical condition?
- A. I have a septic arthritis infection in my body that has left me with internal problems.
  - Q. Does that prevent you from working?
  - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Has it prevented you from working since April of 2002?
- A. Actually, from June.
  - Q. June of 2002?
- 19 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. To the present time?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. I would like you to take a look at
  Exhibit 6 for identification, please. Take a look
  in the lower right-hand corner. Is that your

signature there?

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

- A. (Examines document) Yes.
- Q. Is that your Social Security number to the left of your signature?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Is this the date that you signed it on the right, April 21st?
    - A. Yes, I believe it was. I don't know.
    - Q. Well, you dated this, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Referring to the middle of the page where there's a schedule, did you complete that schedule or did somebody else?
- A. That was someone else. That's not my writing.
- Q. Do you see on Saturday, April 20 where it says, "nine to ten"?
  - A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And 5:30 to 6:00?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Then at the bottom where the totals are, the says, "1.5"?
- 23 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Did you get paid for 1.5 hours on April 0?

- A. Not to my knowledge. I didn't work.
- Q. If the company were to produce a record showing that, in fact, you were paid on April 20 for 1.5 hours, would that change your opinion?
  - A. Well, it would be without my knowledge.

    MR. BOLAND: Just to clarify, would it

change his opinion that he worked or that he was paid?

MR. SMITH: That he was paid.

MR. BOLAND: That's better.

- A. If they paid me, I was not aware they were paying me, because I did not work that day at all.

  I was not there nine to ten. I was not there five to whatever, six.
  - Q. 5:30 to six.
- A. 5:30 to six.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. It says in the right-hand column, "came back for keys." Then there are initials. Do you know whose initials those are?
  - A. Where?

MR. BOLAND: Right here (indicating).

- A. No. I can't make it out.
- Q. Would that be perhaps --
- A. I have no idea.

Q. Sorry?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. I said I have no idea. I don't know whose it is. I can't make it out.
- Q. When you signed this on April 21st, the chart at the top, that shows the hours that you worked and the days you worked was there; is that correct?
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. You think it was filled in after you signe it?
  - A. I believe so.
    - Q. Do you normally sign blank time reports?
- A. Well, no. It was filled out Monday through
- Q. As you sit here today, your testimony is that after you signed, the time for Saturday was added?
- A. Absolutely.
  - Q. Are you sure of that?
    - A. I never signed anything like this.
- Q. Did you normally sign these when you tur d in your time every week?
  - A. Yes. I would fill it out and sign this.
- Q. But the time for the 20th --

A. This isn't my writing.

- Q. Please let me finish. The time for the 20th is for the 20th, and you signed this on Sunday, the 21st, correct?
- A. Yes, I believe so. How could I sign that Sunday?
- Q. You said you were there on Sunday. Why wouldn't you sign it when you were there on Sunday?
- A. Well, unless I was called back there to sign this for the work for Monday through Friday.

  But the supervisor didn't even sign it. That would be signed, also.
- Q. Normally the supervisor signs after you sign?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. Do you have any idea why somebody would have written in that you worked or -- it shows that you worked nine to ten on the 20th and 5:30 to si: on the 20th. Do you have any idea why somebody would have written that in?
- A. No idea, but somebody clearly wrote it i because that's not my handwriting.
- Q. I understand that. It's not your handwriting on the Monday entries either, on the

15th, is it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Hour-wise, no. That is not my figures.
- Q. The whole chart was completed by somebody else?
  - A. Absolutely.
  - Q. Didn't that happen every week, somebody else completed it?
    - A. No.
    - O. You did?
- 10 A. Yes.
  - Q. But this week you didn't?
- A. No, I didn't. I never had the opportunity

  13 either to get this form to fill it out.
  - Q. If we were to take your time records from other weeks, it would show that you completed the hours and the days chart, and it would be differen than this one; is that correct?
  - A. Well, 90 percent of them. There was a t: a or so that Jeff Marshall had put my hours in becare I would have been at the Salisbury facility on a Friday. These time sheets went in Friday evening and I would still be in Salisbury. Other than the the majority of them, yes, I filled out my hours.
    - Q. So is it your testimony that sometimes a

filled out your hours and sometimes your supervisor filled out your hours?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. This particular week, your testimony is that your supervisor filled out your hours or somebody else filled it out?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. The hours for Monday through Friday, your testimony is that those are accurate, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. It's just Saturday that you are contending is not accurate, right?
  - A. Absolutely it is not accurate.
- Q. It's your testimony that, in fact, that w completed, that Saturday entry was completed after you signed the form?
  - A. I would have to say so.
    - Q. Are you sure about that?
  - A. To the best of my knowledge.
- Q. Is it possible you signed the form witho reading it carefully?
- A. I assume so, reading it. Yes, it's
  possible. I could have signed it without going corr
  it, just taken it as a regular time schedule.

MR. SMITH: That will help. I doubt seriously we will need to reconvene, but technically I have to keep it open.

MR. BOLAND: I will have it signed and forwarded to you. I have some questions.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. BOLAND:

- Q. Referring to these exhibits, this was referred to by counsel as Exhibit 1, the Storage U.S.A. application?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Are you the author of that? In other words, did you fill that out? Is that your handwriting throughout this whole thing? I understand you were asked if that was your signature. Is this your handwriting?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Exhibit 4, the complaint, which was marke for identification, you were asked by counsel -- sorry. That's not the complaint. That's the interrogatories.
  - MR. SMITH: It's No. 5.
- Q. Exhibit 5, you were asked by counsel if ou had read and signed these. It was notarized. Is

```
1 | that your signature?
```

- A. Yes.
- Q. As a matter of fact, I'm not sure who the notary is, but somebody notarized your signature?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. You appeared before somebody?
- 7 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. You showed them some kind of identification?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You were asked by counsel about Exhibit 6, 12 this document. Do you recall this document?
  - A. Yes. It's a time sheet.
- Q. You were asked under direct examination in that was your signature.
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall your answer to that questic ?
- A. I believe I said it looks like my signature.
- Q. Is that, in fact, your signature?
- 21 | A. No, it's not.
- 22 Q. It is not?
- 23 A. No, it is not.
- Q. Why would you say it looks like your

signature?

A. It's close, but it's not my handwriting. It's definitely not my handwriting.

MR. BOLAND: Nothing further.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SMITH:

- Q. Would you compare the signature on Exhibit No. 5 for identification, Page 7, and that's the interrogatories, and if you could compare your signature on Page 7 of Exhibit 5 and your signature on the bottom of Exhibit 6.
  - A. (Examines documents) Right.
- Q. Those signatures appear to be, at least to a laymen, virtually identical. Why is it your testimony now that that's not your signature on Exhibit 6?
- A. Because I don't make my letters as they a son -- what the heck is this document here -- on

  No. 6. Exhibit 6 to -- what is this document, 8?

  MR. BOLAND: That's 5.
- A. In comparison to Exhibit 5, they are not the same.
- Q. Mr. Richardson, why did you say initiall that was your signature? Then you left the room

with counsel and came back and said that was not your signature. Why did you change your mind?

- A. Well, because I had some time to think about it, and it clearly appears not to be my handwriting. I mean, at quick glance, it looks close enough.
- Q. It looks awfully a lot like the signature on Page 7, doesn't it?
  - A. No, it does not, not even in the ballpark.
  - Q. Really?

- A. Really.
- Q. You thought about that since you testified that it was your signature, and you thought about and came to the conclusion that it was not your signature without ever even looking at it again; it that right?
  - A. Actually, I had it right in front of me.

    Yes, it's not my signature. I clearly can see it s

    not.
  - Q. Your position is that somebody wrote you signature and basically forged your signature; is that your testimony?
    - A. Appears to be so.
    - Q. Do you have any idea why somebody would

that? Α. I have no clue whatsoever. MR. SMITH: No further questions. (Whereupon the deposition was suspended at 12:33 p.m.) 

## CERTIFICATE

I, HOWARD RICHARDSON, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify under the pains and penalties of perjury that said transcript (with/without) suggested corrections is a true and accurate record of said testimony.

Dated at \_\_\_\_\_, this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2005.

•

DORIS O. WONG ASSOCIATES, INC. (617) 426-2432 ~ Fax (617) 482-7813

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS)

SUFFOLK, SS.

I, Ken A. DiFraia, Registered Professional
Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify
that there came before me on the 13th day of June,
2005, at 10:35 a.m., the person hereinbefore named,
who was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth ar
nothing but the truth of his knowledge touching and
concerning the matters in controversy in this cause
that he was thereupon examined upon his oath, and
his examination reduced to typewriting under my
direction; and that the deposition is a true recor
of the testimony given by the witness.

)

I further certify that I am neither attorney counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any attorney or counsel employed by the parties heret or financially interested in the action.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my ha and affixed my notarial seal this  $2e^{-t}$  day of Jun 2005.

Ken A. Di Frang

Notary Public

My commission expires 4/3/09